arXiv:1010.4380v5 [math.RA] 3 Jun 2012

Lattice-ordered matrix algebras over real GCD-domains

Fei Li
School of Mathematical Sciences, Institute of Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Science,
Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P.R.China,
Current address: School of Statistics and Applied Mathematics,
Anhui University of Finance and Economics, bengbu 233030, Anhui Province, P.R.China

Xianlong Bai
School of Mathematical Sciences, Institute of Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Science,
Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P.R.China

Derong Qiu *
School of Mathematical Sciences, Institute of Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Science,
Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P.R.China

Abstract Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a GCD-domain. In this paper, Weinberg's conjecture on the $n \times n$ matrix algebra $M_n(R)$ $(n \geq 2)$ is proved. Moreover, all the lattice orders (up to isomorphisms) on a full 2×2 matrix algebra over R are obtained.

Key words: Lattice-ordered algebra, matrix ring, ordered ring, Weinberg's conjecture.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F25, 15A48

1. Introduction

Let A be a commutative $l-$ring and $M_n(A)$ be the $n \times n$ matrix ring over A.

---

[*] Corresponding author, E-mail: derong@mail.cnu.edu.cn

Then $M_n(A)$ becomes an $l-$algebra over A with the *usual lattice order* associated to the positive cone $P = M_n(A^+)$, denoted by $(M_n(A), M_n(A^+))$ (see [MR]). In 1966, Weinberg in [W] conjectured that, for any integer $n \geq 2$ and $A = \mathbb{Q}$ (the field of rational numbers), if $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is an $l-$algebra in which the identity matrix is positive, then $(M_n(\mathbb{Q}), P) \cong (M_n(\mathbb{Q}), M_n(\mathbb{Q}^+))$. Also in [W] he proved that this conjecture is true for the case $n = 2$ and determined all but one of the lattice orders of $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Later, S. A. Steinberg studied Weinberg's conjecture over totally ordered fields (see [St1]). In 2002, J. Ma and P. Wojciechowski proved Weinberg's conjecture over a totally ordered subfield of the real number field $\mathbb{R}$ (see[MW]). In 2007, J. Ma and R. H. Redfield proved Weinberg's conjecture over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ (see[MR]).

Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a GCD-domain. In this paper, Weinberg's conjecture on the $n \times n$ matrix ring $M_n(R)$ $(n \geq 2)$ is proved (see Theorem 2.4 in the following) using the procedures developed in [MR]. Moreover, all the lattice orders (up to isomorphisms) on a full 2×2 matrix algebra over R are obtained (see Theorem 2.5 in the following).

2. Lattice-ordered matrix algebras $M_n(R)$

Let $R \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a GCD-domain, i.e., a domain in which any two non-zero elements have a greatest common divisor (see [K]), and K be the field of fractions of R. Let $M_n(R)$ and $M_n(K)$ be the $n \times n$ $(n \geq 2)$ matrix ring over R and K respectively. Now for the $l-$algebra $(M_n(R), P)$ with a positive cone P (see [Bi], [St2]), we denote $\overline{P} = \{A \in M_n(K) \mid kA \in P \text{ for some } 0 < k \in R\}$. Throughout this paper, P denotes a general positive cone on $M_n(R)$; $\overline{P}$ denotes the positive cone on $M_n(K)$ extended from P; $P_A = AM_n(R^+)$ denotes a positive cone on $M_n(R)$ with $A \in M_n(R^+)$; and $P_D = DM_n(K^+)$ denotes a positive cone on $M_n(K)$ with $D \in M_n(K^+)$ (see[MR],

[St1]). We also denote the group of units of R by $R^{\times} = \{r \in R: \ rt = 1 \text{ for some } t \in R\}$.

Proposition 2.1. If $(M_n(R), P)$ is an $l-$algebra over R, then, as an $l-$module over R, it has a $vl-$ basis with n^2 elements $\{B_{ij} : \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, and there exist two non-singular matrices $H \in M_n(K), D = (d_{ij}) \in M_n(K^+)$, and a matrix $C = (q_{ij}) \in M_n(K^+ \setminus \{ \ 0\})$, such that the following statements hold:

(1) $B_{ij} = q_{ij} HDE_{ij}H^{-1}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$;

(2) $d_{jr}q_{ij}q_{rs}q_{is}^{-1} \in R^+$ $(1 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n)$;

(3) $B_{ij}B_{rs} = d_{jr}q_{ij}q_{rs}q_{is}^{-1}B_{is}$ $(1 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n)$;

(4) $(\prod_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} q_{ij})(det(D))^n \in R^{\times}$.

In particular, if the identity matrix $I \in P$, then one can take the above $D = I$, and then all $q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}$ must be positive units in R $(1 \leq i, j, s \leq n)$.

The proof of this proposition can be obtained from the proofs of Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 in [MR] by replacing the integers $\mathbb{Z}$ by the real GCD-domain R.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(M_n(R), P)$ be an $l-$algebra with a $vl-$basis $\{B_{ij} : \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ over R. If the identity matrix $I \in P$, then $(M_n(R), P) \cong (M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$ if and only if the system of equations $x_{ij}x_{js}x_{is}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}$ $(1 \leq i, j, s \leq n)$ with variables $x_{i'j'}$ $(1 \leq i', j' \leq n)$ has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$. Here q_{ij} $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ are as in Proposition 2.1 above for the case $D = I$.

Proof. Since $I \in P$, by taking $D = I$ in Prop. 2.1 above, we have $B_{ij}B_{js} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}B_{is}$. Assume $(M_n(R), P) \cong (M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$, we denote such an isomorphism by ψ. Then $\{\psi(B_{ij}) : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is a $vl-$basis of $(M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$ over R. Since $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ is also a $vl-$basis of $(M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$ over R, we may assume that $\psi(B_{ij}) = \mu_{ij} E_{u(i,j)v(i,j)}$, where $\mu_{ij} \in R^\times \cap R^+$. So $\psi(B_{ij})\psi(B_{js}) = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}\psi(B_{is})$. Hence $\mu_{ij}\mu_{js}E_{u(i,j)v(i,j)}E_{u(j,s)v(j,s)} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}\mu_{is}E_{u(i,s)v(i,s)}$. If $i = j = s$, then we have $u(i,i) = v(i,i)$ and $\mu_{ii} = q_{ii}$. So there exist an $\sigma \in S_n$ (the group of permutations of a set with n elements) such that $\psi(B_{ii}) = \mu_{ii}E_{\sigma(i)\sigma(i)}$. Next, for the cases $i = j$ and $j = s$, we have $\sigma(i) = u(i,s)$ and $\sigma(j) = v(i,j)$, respectively. Therefore, there exists a $\sigma \in S_n$ such that, for every pair i, j we have $\psi(B_{ij}) = \mu_{ij}E_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$. Now we define a $R-$linear map $\rho : (M_n(R), M_n(R^+)) \longrightarrow (M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$ by $\rho(E_{st}) = E_{\sigma^{-1}(s)\sigma^{-1}(t)}$. It is easy to verify that ρ is an automorphism of $l-$algebra. Let $\tau = \rho \circ \psi$, then τ is an isomorphism of $l-$algebras and $\tau(B_{ij}) = \mu_{ij}E_{ij}$. By the above equality $B_{ij}B_{js} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}B_{is}$, we get $\tau(B_{ij})\tau(B_{js}) = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}\tau(B_{is})$, and then $\mu_{ij}\mu_{js}E_{is} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}\mu_{is}E_{is}$. Hence $\mu_{ij}\mu_{js}\mu_{is}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}$, which gives a positive solution for the given system of equations.

Conversely, let $x_{ij} = \mu_{ij} \in R^\times$ be a positive solution of the given system of equations. We define a $R-$linear map $\varphi : (M_n(R), P) \longrightarrow (M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$ by $\varphi(B_{ij}) = \mu_{ij}E_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$. Then it can be easily verified that φ is an isomorphism of $l-$algebras. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.3. The system of equations $x_{ij}x_{js}x_{is}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1}$ $(1 \leq i, j, s \leq n)$ with variables $x_{i'j'}$ $(1 \leq i', j' \leq n)$ in Theorem 2.2 always has positive solutions in $R^\times$.

Proof. For any $n, x_{ii} = q_{ii}$ are always positive units of R and $x_{ij}x_{ji} = q_{ij}q_{ji}$. For the case $n = 2$, the given equations have a solution $x_{11} = q_{11}, x_{22} = q_{22}, x_{12} =$

$1, x_{21} = q_{12}q_{21}$. We use induction on $n \geq 3$. If $n = 3$, then the given system of equations has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$ if and only if the following system of equations (S1) has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$:

$$\text{(S1)} \quad \begin{cases} x_{12}x_{21} = q_{12}q_{21}, \\ x_{13}x_{31} = q_{13}q_{31}, \\ x_{23}x_{32} = q_{23}q_{32}, \\ x_{12}x_{23}x_{13}^{-1} = q_{12}q_{23}q_{13}^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that (S1) has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$. Now for the case $n = k$, we assume that the given system of equations has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$. We want to verify the case $n = k + 1$. To see this note that, just as for the case $n = 3$, to solve these equations in variables $x_{ef}, x_{fe}, x_{eg}, x_{ge}, x_{fg}, x_{gf}$ $(1 \leq e < f < g \leq k + 1)$ it is sufficient to solve the following equations

$$\text{(S2)} \quad \begin{cases} x_{ef}x_{fe} = q_{ef}q_{fe}, \\ x_{eg}x_{ge} = q_{eg}q_{ge}, \\ x_{fg}x_{gf} = q_{fg}q_{gf}, \\ x_{ef}x_{fg}x_{eg}^{-1} = q_{ef}q_{fg}q_{eg}^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Using this reduction, it is easy to see that the given system of equations in case $n = k + 1$ has positive solutions in $R^{\times}$ if and only if so does the system (S3) consisting of the following four parts

$$\text{(S3.1)} \quad x_{ij}x_{js}x_{is}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{js}q_{is}^{-1} \ (1 \leq i, j, s \leq k),$$

$$\text{(S3.2)} \quad \begin{cases} x_{1,k+1}x_{k+1,1} = q_{1,k+1}q_{k+1,1}, \\ x_{2,k+1}x_{k+1,2} = q_{2,k+1}q_{k+1,2}, \\ \cdots\cdots \\ x_{k,k+1}x_{k+1,k} = q_{k,k+1}q_{k+1,k}, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(S3.3)} \quad \begin{cases} x_{12}x_{2,k+1}x_{1,k+1}^{-1} = q_{12}q_{2,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}, \\ x_{13}x_{3,k+1}x_{1,k+1}^{-1} = q_{13}q_{3,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}, \\ \cdots\cdots \\ x_{1k}x_{k,k+1}x_{1,k+1}^{-1} = q_{1k}q_{k,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(S3.4)} \quad x_{ij}x_{j,k+1}x_{i,k+1}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{j,k+1}q_{i,k+1}^{-1} \ (2 \leq i < j \leq k).$$

Note that the system (S3.4) can be deduced from the systems (S3.3) and (S3.1), that is, from the equations $x_{1j}x_{j,k+1}x_{1,k+1}^{-1} = q_{1j}q_{j,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}$, $x_{1i}x_{i,k+1}x_{1,k+1}^{-1} = q_{1i}q_{i,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}$ and $x_{1i}x_{ij}x_{1j}^{-1} = q_{1i}q_{ij}q_{1j}^{-1}$, we get the equations $x_{ij}x_{j,k+1}x_{i,k+1}^{-1} = q_{ij}q_{j,k+1}q_{i,k+1}^{-1}$ $(2 \leq$

$i < j \leq k$). So the given system of equations in case $n = k+1$ has positive solutions in $R^\times$ if and only if so does the system consisting of (S3.1), (S3.2) and (S3.3). By the induction hypothesis, (S3.1) has positive solutions in $R^\times$. So we can take a solution $x_{ij} = \mu_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq k)$. So the system consisting of (S3.1), (S3.2) and (S3.3) has a solution $x_{ij} = \mu_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq k), x_{1,k+1} = 1, x_{i,k+1} = q_{1i}q_{i,k+1}q_{1,k+1}^{-1}\mu_{1,i}^{-1}$ $(2 \leq i \leq k), x_{k+1,i} = x_{i,k+1}^{-1}q_{i,k+1}q_{k+1,i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$, which implies that the given system has positive solutions in $R^\times$. Therefore, the conclusion holds for the case $n = k+1$. The proof is completed. $\square$

By the above Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following result, i.e., Weinberg's conjecture holds on lattice-ordered matrix algebras over all real GCD-domains.

Theorem 2.4. Let $(M_n(R), P)$ be an $l-$algebra over R with $I \in P$, then $(M_n(R), P) \cong (M_n(R), M_n(R^+))$.

Theorem 2.5. Any $l-$algebra $(M_2(R), P)$ is isomorphic to $(M_2(R), P_A)$, where $P_A = AM_2(R^+)$ for some $A \in M_2(R^+)$ with $\det(A) \in R^\times$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 above, $(M_2(R), P)$ has a $vl-$basis $B = \{B_{ij}\}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$ with $B_{ij} = q_{ij}HDE_{ij}H^{-1}$ and $B_{ij}B_{rs} = d_{jr}q_{ij}q_{rs}q_{is}^{-1}B_{is}$. From [MW], $(M_2(K), \overline{P}) \cong (M_2(K), P_D)$ where $P_D = DM_2(K^+)$ for one of the following three matrices D :

(1) $D = I$; (2) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; (3) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$, where $a, b \in K$ and $a > b > 0$.

First, for the case (1), the conclusion follows directly from the above Theorem 2.4.

Next for the case (2), by Proposition 2.1.(2), we have $d_{jr}q_{ij}q_{rs}q_{is}^{-1} \in R^+$ $(1 \leq$

$i, j, r, s \leq 2$). From $(i, j, r, s) = (1,1,1,1), (1,2,1,1), (1,1,2,1), (1,1,2,2)$, we get $q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{11}q_{22} \in R^+$, respectively. By Proposition 2.1.(4), we have $q_{11}q_{12}q_{21}q_{22} \in R^\times$. So $q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{11}q_{22} \in R^\times \cap R^+$. Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{21} \\ q_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $x_{11} = x_{12} = x_{21} = 1$, $x_{22} = q_{11}q_{22}q_{12}^{-1}q_{21}^{-1}$. Obviously, $det(A) \in R^\times$. Also it is well known that, for $B \in M_n(R^+), BM_n(R^+)$ is the positive cone of a lattice order on $M_n(R)$ if and only if $\det(B) \in R^\times$ (see [St2], p.595). So we know that $(M_2(R), P_A)$ is an $l-$algebra with positive cone $P_A = AM_2(R^+)$. We define a $R-$linear map $\phi : (M_2(R), P) \longrightarrow (M_2(R), P_A)$ by $\phi(B_{ij}) = x_{ij}AE_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$. By direct computation of various subcases that arise from the four cases $(j, r) = (2,2), (1,1), (1,2), (2,1)$, it can be seen that ϕ preserves multiplication. So ϕ is an isomorphism of $l-$algebras.

For the last case (3), just as done in the case (2) above, by Proposition 2.1 (2) (4), we have $aq_{12}, bq_{22}, q_{11}, q_{21} \in R^+$. We assert that $q_{11}q_{22} = \mu q_{12}q_{21}$ for some $\mu \in R^+ \cap R^\times$ (we will prove it later). Set $C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_{11}}{p_{11}} & \frac{q_{21}}{p_{21}} \\ \frac{aq_{12}}{p_{12}} & \frac{bq_{22}}{p_{22}} \end{pmatrix}$, where $p_{ij} \in R^\times \cap R^+$ satisfy $\frac{q_{11}q_{22}}{p_{11}p_{22}} = \frac{q_{12}q_{21}}{p_{12}p_{21}}$. Let $t = \frac{q_{12}q_{21}}{p_{12}p_{21}}$, then $C \in M_2(R^+)$ with $\det(C) = (b-a)t \in R$. By Proposition 2.1, $(q_{12}q_{22}q_{11}q_{21})(\det(D))^2 = (q_{12}q_{22}q_{11}q_{21})(b-a)^2 \in R^\times$, so $t^2(b-a)^2 \in R^\times$, hence $\det(C) \in R^\times$. Then $(M_2(R), P_C)$ is an $l-$algebra with positive cone $P_C = CM_2(R^+)$. We define a $R-$linear map $\theta : (M_2(R), P) \longrightarrow (M_2(R), P_C)$ by $\theta(B_{ij}) = p_{ij}CE_{ij}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$. Also by direct computation of various subcases, it can be seen that θ preserves multiplication. So θ is an isomorphism of $l-$algebras. Now we only need to prove our assertion $q_{11}q_{22} = \mu q_{12}q_{21}$ for some $\mu \in R^+ \cap R^\times$. Note that $aq_{12}, bq_{22}, q_{11}, q_{21} \in R^+$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$. Let $I = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} k_{ij}B_{ij}$, $k_{ij} \in R$, then $I = k_{11}q_{11}DE_{11} + k_{12}q_{12}DE_{12} + k_{21}q_{21}DE_{21} + k_{22}q_{22}DE_{11}$. By direct calculation, $I = -\frac{b}{a-b}DE_{11} + \frac{1}{a-b}DE_{12} + \frac{a}{a-b}DE_{21} - \frac{1}{a-b}DE_{22}$. So $k_{11}q_{11} = -\frac{b}{a-b}$, $k_{12}q_{12} =$

$\frac{1}{a-b}$, $k_{21}q_{21} = \frac{a}{a-b}$, $k_{22}q_{22} = -\frac{1}{a-b}$. Let $m = \frac{a}{a-b}$, $m-1 = \frac{b}{a-b}$, then $k_{21}q_{21} = ak_{12}q_{12} = m$, $k_{11}q_{11} = bk_{22}q_{22} = 1-m$. Let $\varepsilon = (q_{12}q_{22}q_{11}q_{21})(\det(D))^2$, then by Proposition 2.1, $\varepsilon \in R^{\times}$. Since R is a GCD-domain and $\gcd(m, m-1) = 1$, we have

$$(\varpi): \ \gcd(m, q_{11}) = 1, \ \gcd(m, bq_{22}) = 1, \ \gcd(m-1, q_{21}) = 1, \ \gcd(m-1, aq_{12}) = 1.$$

Since $q_{11}aq_{12}q_{21}bq_{22} = \frac{ab}{(a-b)^2}q_{11}q_{12}q_{21}q_{22}(\det(D))^2 = \frac{ab\varepsilon}{(a-b)^2} = (m-1)m\varepsilon$, by the equalities (ϖ), we get $bq_{11}q_{22} = (m-1)\mu_1$, $aq_{12}q_{21} = m\mu_2$, where $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in R^{\times}$ and $\mu_1\mu_2 = \varepsilon$. Since

$$\frac{aq_{12}q_{21}}{bq_{11}q_{22}} = \frac{m\mu_2}{(m-1)\mu_1} \Longrightarrow \frac{a}{b}\frac{q_{12}q_{21}}{q_{11}q_{22}} = \frac{a}{a-b}\frac{a-b}{b}\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1},$$

we obtain that $\mu = \frac{q_{12}q_{21}}{q_{11}q_{22}} = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}$, i.e., $q_{11}q_{22} = \mu q_{12}q_{21}$, $\mu \in R^{\times}$. This proves our assertion, and the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Professor R. H. Redfield for sending us helpful materials. We thank Professor Jingjing Ma for helpful suggestions. We also thank the anonymous referee for helpful suggestions, especially for suggesting us to replace UFD rings by GCD-domains in this paper.

References

[**Bi**] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd Edition, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Pub. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995.

[**K**] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.

[**MR**] J. Ma, R. H. Redfield, Lattice-ordered matrix rings over the integers, Comm. Algeba. 35 (2007), 2160-2170.

[**MW**] J. Ma, P. Wojciechowski, Lattice orders on matrix algebras, Algebra Universalis, 47(2002), 435-441.

[**St1**] S.A.Steinberg, On the scarcity of lattice-ordered matrix algebras II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128 (2000), 1605-1612.

[**St2**] S.A.Steinberg, Lattice-ordered Rings and Modules, Springer, New York, 2010.

[**W**] E. Weinberg, On the scarcity of lattice-ordered matrix rings, Pacific J. Math. 19 (1966), 561-571.